

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status	Workgroup Closed
1	WG6	Chair/ Proposer	Add new Terms of Reference to cover off demand and generation Users connecting and needing the same projects and investments.	The Chair confirmed Panel approved the new Terms of Reference (g) 'Consider the interaction between Demand and Generation Securities'.	WG7	Closed	WG7
2	WG6	Proposer	Consider which principles of UCM are being transferred and any that aren't, include justifications.	The Proposer confirmed no changes were needed regarding the SIF and LARF applications and will continue to apply as proposed.	WG7	Closed	WG8
3	WG6	Proposer	Consider if FSM will still be applicable to some Users or if it will be removed altogether	The Proposer confirmed final sums will be removed altogether and the solution will apply to everyone not covered by the current Section 15.	WG7	Closed	WG7
4	WG6	Proposer	Consider how combined capacity is calculated for hybrid sites to avoid double counting.	The Proposer updated the Workgroup that consideration for calculation for hybrid	WG7	Closed	WG7
5	WG6	Proposer	Provide stage approach examples	The Proposer advised this action was no longer relevant as CMP417 aims to align generation and demand. Any change to SIF scaling across all schemes would require a broader modification to CMP192 and believed this was out of scope for CMP417. Examples of attribute cancellation charge were provided to the Workgroup.	WG7	Closed	WG7
6	WG6	Proposer	Consider impacts on Grid Code and on changes to security statement timings and the impact this will have.	The Proposer met with the NESO Customer Solutions and Engineering Team to discuss impacts of changes to security statement timings. The Team believed there are no impacts on the Grid Code.	WG7	Closed	WG7
7	WG7	SN/MC	Look into model allocation of capex to investigate whether zonal allocation is cost reflective for both Generation and Demand	The SME explained their approach, where total CapEx (including both generation and demand-driven works) is reduced by User Risk and Global Asset Reuse Factors before apportioning to ETYS zones using Boundary information. This will then be divided by expected MW connections to set a £/MW tariff. They advised that the method will be an approximation and not based on actual wider spend per zone.	WG8	Closed	WG8
8	WG7	SN/MC	Provide example on wider liability and cancellation charge	The SME explained the user risk factor splits liability 50/50 between generation and consumers, but only generation faces wider liability currently. Under the proposed change, both Generation and Demand would share this liability, which is expected to reduce securities for Demand sites, especially those with high liabilities	WG8	Closed	WG8
9	WG7	SN/MC	Consider in more detail what happens with SIF for Generation, particularly for connection sites and one off works. Update: Proposer to look into examples which show financial impact at a future workgroup	Worked examples provided and discussed by the Workgroup (see action 16).	WG8	Closed	WG12
10	WG7	SN/MC	Consider and finalise solution for DNOs	The Proposer met with the DNOs and feedback that most DNO works are driven by large embedded demand projects, but rare cases exist where reinforcement is required due to general load growth. The group agreed on the need for high-level guidelines for such scenarios	WG8	Closed	WG10
11	WG7	SN/MC	Discuss use of TORIs with TOs and whether this is required in the solution. Update: Proposer to provide a more detailed example for the next Workgroup.	It is proposed to still have two security statements as the calculation inputs are still different for Generation and Demand	WG8	Closed	WG10
12	WG7	SN/MC	Provide summary of solution within Workgroup Consultation document	Solution summary provided	WG8	Closed	WG11
13	WG10	MC	Further consider how embedded customer information will be collected and provide an example.	Multiple embedded demand projects are not grouped in the same DNO Mod App. If a project triggers a Mod App, a single construction agreement covers all required Transmission Works—no need to split liabilities further. For CMP417, a one-to-one mapping is recommended.	WG10	Closed	WG11

14	WG10	MC	Consider whether Demand customers will be included into the Statement of Works document, Appendix G table or other documentation/list.	Allowing multiple embedded projects would require a Generation-like process (e.g.Statement of Works, Appendix G). Applying this to Generation would greatly expand the modification's scope. Broader process changes should be considered separately as demand grows.	WG10	Closed	WG11
15	WG11	MC	Develop a detailed implementation plan for reissuing Construction Agreements	Update provided in Workgroup 13 however further work is still required	WG13	Closed	WG16
16	WG12	MC	Worked examples: Investigate whether DNO examples can be provided Add MW values Include Scottish assets Include drop in post-trigger security requirements	Proposer presented the updated worked examples within the Workgroup.	WG13	Closed	WG13
17	WG12	MC	Workgroup Consultation: Clarify 10% security requirement Include CMP192 diagrams to illustrate changes over project lifetime Clarify wider liability calculations Include implementation arrangements	The Workgroup members agreed the final points within the Workgroup Consultation.	WG13	Closed	WG13
18	WG12	MC	Make adjustments to the legal text and review with NESO legal prior to Workgroup Consultation	The Proposer received comments from Legal but were unable to review in time for the Workgroup. The Proposer presented the Legal Text and agreed these during WG13 and confirmed they would be annexed to the Workgroup Consultation	WG13	Closed	WG13
19	WG13	MC	Query with NESO Representative whether a guidance note was produced for CMP447.	The Proposer advised guidance on how schemes will be classed as designated strategic works has not been created as part of CMP447. The decision will be taken based on a number of factors, with information about which schemes are strategically made available.	WG14	Closed	WG14
20	WG13	All	Workgroup to review how modification impacts DNO's contracts.	DNO contracts with embedded customers would need to be updated to reflect recalculated securities once known. This has been added into the implementation timeline	WG14	Closed	WG16
21	WG14	MC	Confirm process for Hybrid Sites	The proposer advised that hybrid sites with generation and demand will have one security statement. Demand Capacity will be based on the larger technology. Cancellation of the smaller technology will not trigger a User Commitment cancellation charge, however an abortive works will be charged as a reduction Fee.	WG14	Closed	WG16
22	WG15	MC	Confirm with Connections practical arrangements for abortive works charges in hybrid site scenarios. Such as how and when Users are informed that such charges may arise following a change in scope and how advance visibility of potential charges is provided.	The proposer advised that abortive works will only be known once advised by the TO. It is not possible to provide visibility in advance.	WG15	Closed	WG16
23	WG15	MC	Discuss with Proposer for CM093 and Consider whether similar transitional arrangements may be required in the STC as part of legal text development.	This has been raised for discussion at CM093	WG15	Closed	WG16
24	WG15	MC	Action to look at wording in Section 6 (clause 6.30.4.4) to distinguish between <i>signed</i> and <i>commissioned</i> sites to ensure the intent is accurately reflected	Has been updated in legal text using the term Charging Date which reflects the date at which the site is commissioned.	WG15	Closed	WG16